## **Conclusion (Claim – Evidence - Reasoning)**

The conclusion answers the original question. A conclusion is an argument using evidence. The conclusion is a paragraph written in complete sentences (past tense). Avoid using personal pronouns.

*Claim* (2-3 sentences): An explanation that is consistent with the evidence; a judgment or decision reached after deliberation; answers original question

- Statement of support or non-support of the original hypothesis.
  - State "The data collected did/did not/partially support the original hypothesis."
  - <u>DO NOT</u> state whether the hypothesis was right or wrong.
- ANSWER THE ORIGINAL QUESTION.
  - State "These findings led to the conclusion...."
  - $\circ$  Restate the question with the answer.

*Evidence* - Observations/facts/data that leads to a claim; supports a claim; appropriate and sufficient to support the claim.

- Only use evidence that supports the claim.
  - Use specific **<u>numerical data;</u>** Include units when discussing data.
  - MEAN (average), MEDIAN, MODE, RANGE, RANDOM ERROR, or PERCENT LOSS/GAIN!
    Facts and observations can be used as evidence.
- *"Lines of evidence"* Quantity and quality of the "lines of evidence" both play a part in the "strength" of the explanation; the best explanation is neither by decree nor by vote.
- Individual trials are normally not discussed.

*Reasoning* - The process of forming conclusions, judgments, or inferences from facts; to determine or conclude by logical thinking; states the final conclusion.

- **Explain** *WHY* (justifies) and *HOW* the data counts as evidence to support the claim (links the claim and evidence).
- Showing mathematical differences can be used for justification, as well as percent loss or gain.

**Conclusion Example:** Does the interaction between Mentos and different soda types (diet, regular, and clear) cause a change in the soda eruption height (meters)?

**CLAIM:** *The data collected* did *support the original hypothesis*. *These findings led to the conclusion that the interaction between Mentos and different soda types did cause a change in the soda eruption height, and diet soda had the highest eruption height.* 

**EVIDENCE:** Average eruption height (meters)

- Diet soda 7.32 meters
- *Regular soda 4.57 meters*
- Control 5.2 meters

**REASONING:** <u>Based on the evidence, it is reasonable to conclude</u> that diet drinks had the highest eruption height <u>because</u> diet drinks, on average, had a higher soda eruption height of 2.75 meters when compared to regular soda and 2.12 meters when compared to the control.

## Revised Hypothesis: Write using complete sentences. Only <u>one</u> sentence is written.

- 1. Written <u>only</u> if data **did not support** or **partially** supported the original hypothesis.
- 2. If a revised hypothesis is written, it does not replace the original hypothesis.
- 3. The revised hypothesis follows the same format as the original format (minus the  $2^{nd}$  sentence):
  - "If..., then...."

## **Further Research:** *List areas of further research (bullets). Do not use sentences.*

- Describe ways that your investigation could be carried further or expanded.
  - How could you elaborate on this topic?
    - HINT: Look at limitations to get expansion ideas.
  - What else could be done or what questions do you now have based on the data?
  - NOTE: Increasing time and number of trials are NOT expansion ideas.